Wallowa Whitman Forest Collaborative: Project Outcome Monitoring for Lower Joseph Creek Project								
Monitoring Question	Indicators	Duration	Resources (required/availabile)	Follow up	Notes			
	Employment for local individual and businesses	ST, MT	State of Oregon, Oregon State University, Ecosystem Workforce Program at University of Oregon, and Wallowa Resources collect this data. No WWFC resources required.		Wallowa Resources will take the lead in collecting and reporting this information to the WWFC monitoring sub-committee.			
1. What are the project's	Merchantable wood supply to local wood products processing facilities	ST						
effects on <b>local economies</b> ?	Contracting mechanism used and dollars contributed to local counties	ST						
	Contractor's county of residence	ST						
	Fire condition class	ST, MT, LT						
2. What are the project's	Fire behavior model outputs (flame lengths, crown fire potential, etc.)	ST, MT, LT	Use existing protocols to build a menu of items to measure. Some photo series data on fuel loads within the project area already exist. Return to those photo points as appropriate.	Contact Jenny Reinhardt for suite of protocols used in previous projects. Contact Larry Nall regarding photo series.  Contact Jenny Reinhardt for suite of protocols	Funding may be available through Wallowa County NRAC. Contractor required to conduct this work.			
effects on <b>wildfire</b> <b>conditions</b> ?	Fuel loads (ground and ladder)	ST, MT, LT						
	Species composition	ST, MT, LT						
	Stem density or basal area	ST, MT, LT	Use existing protocols to build a menu of items to measure.	used in previous projects.	Funding may be available through Wallowa County NRAC. Contractor required to conduct this work.			
3. What are the project's	Sterri derisity or basar area	31,1011, L1		1 1 3				
effects on forest structure and composition?	Cover or canopy closure (collect data w/ shading)	ST, MT, LT	TBD	Identify potential application and cost of Solmetric PV Analyzer (solmetric.com).				
and composition:	Dead & down wood	ST, MT, LT	Use existing protocols to build a menu of items to measure.	Contact Jenny Reinhardt for suite of protocols used in previous projects.				
	Structural complexity	ST, MT, LT						
4. What are the project's	Threatened and endangered species habitat attributes	ST, MT, LT	TBD any data collection efforts in	Follow up with Nick Myatt to better understand	Sub-committee requested additional			
effects on wildlife habitat?	Management indicator species habitat attributes	ST, MT, LT		any data collection efforts in the project area by	information be gathered.			
orroots on whall o habitat.	Habitat attributes for "habitat limited" species	ST, MT, LT		ODFW.				
	Shading (collect data w/ canopy cover)	MT or LT		implementation plan for Lower Joseph Crook	Baseline data collected for Lower Joseph Creek environmental analysis.			
	Water temperature	MT or LT	There are eleven existing MIMs sites within the					
5. What are the project's	Stream bank stability or erosion	MT or LT	project boundary. Each site covers ten different protocols and will be monitored again in the next					
effects on aquatic conditions?	Sedimentation, especially during pulse events							
	Key aquatic habitat elements (e.g. large woody debris, pools, cover)	ST, MT, LT	5-10 years. Resource requirements are low.					
	Aquatic habitat connectivity	MT or LT		3				
6. What are the project's effects on domestic and wild	Ungulate sign (deer, elk, cattle)	ST, LT	Trail cams at treatment sites including riparian areas that will be treated.	Identify photo points and take photos/video prior to project implementation.	Combine data collection efforts with monitoring questions number two and three.  Need to establish digital data base to store			
ungulate activity?	Forage and undergrowth (commercial and non-commercial sites)	ST, MT, LT	Photo points, photo/video on a stick		photos.			
	Snowpack retention	ST, MT, LT	TBD	Identify potential application and cost of Solmetric PV Analyzer (solmetric.com).	Measure in concert with canopy closure and shading.			
7. What are the project's effects on water retention?	Soil water storage	LT	High resource requirements	Contact Gordon Grant and John Laurence about interest in designing a research project	WWFC will not monitor this indicators due to cost. The question is better answered through research.			
on eats on <b>mater</b> ( <b>steintier</b> ).	Pools, stream channel morphology	LT	There is one existing stream gauge in Lower Joseph Creek. Monitoring could occur where a culturt is replaced or if a tree or woody debris is placed in-stream.	Contact Dana Nave about potential protocols and cost to contract this work.				
	Stability/erosion	ST, LT (depending on results of ST)	Potential opportunity to collect data in cooperation with USFS as part of	Contact Brian Spradlin and Michael Brown to learn more about the monitoring included in the implementation plan for Lower Joseph Creek.				
8. What are the project's effects on soils?	Compaction	ST, LT (depending on results of ST)	implementation plan. Pre-implementation data may need to be collected.					
	Coarse woody debris retention (nutrient cycling and long-term productivity)	LT	TBD	None at this time.				
	Fire effects (e.g., organic matter, hydrophobicity)	Monitor post-fire	TBD	None at this time.	Field trip to a burned areas where a treatement was conducted			
	Trails (open, closed, etc.)	ST	Limited resources required.	Create a "check box" to be included in the project outcome monitoring plan.	USFS is likely to have this data following signed			
9. What are the project's effects on public access?	Roads (open, closed, motorized, non-motorized, etc.)	ST			ROD and then following project implementation			

	Non-timber forest products utilization		Utilize exisiting USFS data		The sub-committee expressed interest in understanding if the project has a postiive, negative, or no effect on publice use
effects on <b>public use</b> ?	Recreation use	ST, MT, LT d	Utilize existing USFS and OSURural Explorer data		